# Computer Architecture (263-2210-00L), Fall 2017 

HW 3: Branch handling and GPU
Instructor: Prof. Onur Mutlu
TAs: Hasan Hassan, Arash Tavakkol, Mohammad Sadr, Lois Orosa, Juan Gomez Luna
Assigned: Wednesday, Oct 25, 2017
Due: Wednesday, Nov 8, 2017

- Handin - Critical Paper Reviews (1). You need to submit your reviews to https: //safari.ethz.ch/review/architecture/. Please check your inbox. You should have received an email with the password you can use to login to the paper review system. If you have not received any email, please contact comparch@lists.ethz.ch. In the first page after login, you should click in "Architecture - Fall 2017 Home", and then go to "any submitted paper" to see the list of papers.
- Handin - Questions (2-10). Please upload your solution to the Moodle (https: //moodle-app2.let.ethz.ch/) as a single PDF file. Please use a typesetting software (e.g., LaTeX) or a word processor (e.g., MS Word, LibreOfficeWriter) to generate your PDF file. Feel free to draw your diagrams either using an appropriate software or by hand, and include the diagrams into your solutions PDF.


## 1 Critical Paper Reviews [150 points]

Please read the following handout on how to write critical reviews. We will give out extra credit that is worth $0.5 \%$ of your total grade for each good review.

- Lecture slides on guidelines for reviewing papers. Please follow this format. https://safari.ethz.ch/architecture/fall2017/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=onur-comparch-f17-how-to-do-the-paper-reviews.pdf
- Some sample reviews can be found here: https://safari.ethz.ch/architecture/fall2017/doku. php?id=readings
(a) Write a one-page critical review for the following paper:
B. C. Lee, E. Ipek, O. Mutlu and D. Burger. "Architecting phase change memory as a scalable DRAM alternative." ISCA 2009. https://people.inf.ethz.ch/omutlu/pub/pcm_isca09.pdf
(b) Write a one-page critical review for two of the following papers:
- McFarling, Scott. "Combining branch predictors". Vol. 49. Technical Report TN-36, Digital Western Research Laboratory, 1993. https://safari.ethz.ch/architecture/fall2017/lib/exe/fetch. php?media=combining.pdf
- Yeh, Tse-Yu, and Yale N. Patt. "Two-level adaptive training branch prediction." Proceedings of the 24th annual international symposium on Microarchitecture. ACM, 1991. https://safari.ethz.ch/ architecture/fall2017/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=yeh_patt-adaptive-training-1991.pdf
- Keckler, S. W., Dally, W. J., Khailany, B., Garland, M., and Glasco, D. "GPUs and the future of parallel computing." IEEE Micro, 2011. https://safari.ethz.ch/architecture/fall2017/lib/ exe/fetch.php?media=ieee-micro-gpu.pdf


## 2 GPUs and SIMD [100 points]

We define the SIMD utilization of a program run on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with active threads during the run of a program. As we saw in lecture and practice exercises, the SIMD utilization of a program is computed across the complete run of the program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A, B, and C are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 6 instructions in each thread.) A warp in the GPU consists of 64 threads, and there are 64 SIMD lanes in the GPU. Please assume that all values in array B have magnitudes less than 10 (i.e., $|B[i]|<10$, for all i).

```
for (i = 0; i < 1024; i++) {
    A[i] = B[i] * B[i];
    if (A[i] > 0) {
        C[i] = A[i] * B[i];
        if (C[i] < 0) {
            A[i] = A[i] + 1;
        }
        A[i] = A[i] - 2;
    }
}
```

Please answer the following five questions.
(a) [10 points] How many warps does it take to execute this program?
$\square$
(b) [10 points] What is the maximum possible SIMD utilization of this program?
(c) [30 points] Please describe what needs to be true about array B to reach the maximum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (b). (Please cover all cases in your answer)

B:
(d) [15 points] What is the minimum possible SIMD utilization of this program?
(e) [35 points] Please describe what needs to be true about array B to reach the minimum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (d). (Please cover all cases in your answer)

B: $\square$

## 3 AoS vs. SoA on GPU [50 points]

The next figure shows the execution time for processing an array of data structures on a GPU. Abscissas represent the number of members in a data structure. Consecutive GPU threads read consecutive structures, and compute the sum reduction of their members. The result is stored in the first member of the structure.


The green line is the time for a kernel that accesses an array that is stored as discrete sub-arrays, that is, all i-th members of all array elements are stored in the i-th sub-array, in consecutive memory locations. The red line is the kernel time with an array that contains members of the same structure in consecutive memory locations.

- Why does the red line increase linearly? Why not the green line?
- How can the effect on the red line be alleviated?
- How would both kernels perform on a single-core CPU with one level of cache? And on a dual-core CPU with individual caches? And on a dual-core CPU with a shared cache?


## 4 SIMD Processing [50 points]

Suppose we want to design a SIMD engine that can support a vector length of 16 . We have two options: a traditional vector processor and a traditional array processor.

Which one is more costly in terms of chip area (circle one)?
The traditional vector processor The traditional array processor Neither
Explain:
$\square$
Assuming the latency of an addition operation is five cycles in both processors, how long will a VADD (vector add) instruction take in each of the processors (assume that the adder can be fully pipelined and is the same for both processors)?

For a vector length of 1 :

The traditional vector processor: $\square$
The traditional array processor: $\square$
For a vector length of 4 :
The traditional vector processor: $\square$
The traditional array processor: $\square$
For a vector length of 16 :
The traditional vector processor: $\square$

The traditional array processor: $\square$

## 5 Fine-Grained Multithreading [100 points]

Consider a design "Machine I" with five pipeline stages: fetch, decode, execute, memory and writeback. Each stage takes 1 cycle. The instruction and data caches have $100 \%$ hit rates (i.e., there is never a stall for a cache miss). Branch directions and targets are resolved in the execute stage. The pipeline stalls when a branch is fetched, until the branch is resolved. Dependency check logic is implemented in the decode stage to detect flow dependences. The pipeline does not have any forwarding paths, so it must stall on detection of a flow dependence.

In order to avoid these stalls, we will consider modifying Machine I to use fine-grained multithreading.
(a) In the five stage pipeline of Machine I shown below, clearly show what blocks you would need to add in each stage of the pipeline, to implement fine-grained multithreading. You can replicate any of the blocks and add muxes. You don't need to implement the mux control logic (although provide an intuitive name for the mux control signal, when applicable).

(b) The machine's designer first focuses on the branch stalls, and decides to use fine-grained multithreading to keep the pipeline busy no matter how many branch stalls occur. What is the minimum number of threads required to achieve this?
$\square$
(c) The machine's designer now decides to eliminate dependency-check logic and remove the need for flowdependence stalls (while still avoiding branch stalls). How many threads are needed to ensure that no flow dependence ever occurs in the pipeline?


A rival designer is impressed by the throughput improvements and the reduction in complexity that FGMT brought to Machine I. This designer decides to implement FGMT on another machine, Machine II. Machine II is a pipelined machine with the following stages.

| Fetch | 1 stage |
| :---: | :---: |
| Decode | 1 stage |
| Execute | 8 stages (branch direction/target are resolved in the first execute stage) |
| Memory | 2 stages |
| Writeback | 1 stage |

Assume everything else in Machine II is the same as in Machine I.
(d) Is the number of threads required to eliminate branch-related stalls in Machine II the same as in Machine I?

YES NO (Circle one)
If yes, why?
$\square$
If no, how many threads are required?
$\qquad$
(e) What is the minimum CPI (i.e., maximum performance) of each thread in Machine II when this minimum number of threads is used?
(f) Now consider flow-dependence stalls. Does Machine II require the same minimum number of threads as Machine I to avoid the need for flow-dependence stalls?

YES NO (Circle one)
If yes, why?
$\square$
(g) What is the minimum CPI of each thread when this number of threads (to cover flow-dependence stalls) is used?
$\square$
(h) After implementing fine grained multithreading, the designer of Machine II optimizes the design and compares the pipeline throughput of the original Machine II (without FGMT) and the modified Machine II (with FGMT) both machines operating at their maximum possible frequency, for several code sequences. On a particular sequence that has no flow dependences, the designer is surprised to see that the new Machine II (with FGMT) has lower overall throughput (number of instructions retired by the pipeline per second) than the old Machine II (with no FGMT). Why could this be? Explain concretely.
$\qquad$

## 6 Multithreading [50 points]

Suppose your friend designed the following fine-grained multithreaded machine:

- The pipeline has 22 stages and is 1 instruction wide.
- Branches are resolved at the end of the 18 th stage and there is a 1 cycle delay after that to communicate the branch target to the fetch stage.
- The data cache is accessed during stage 20. On a hit, the thread does not stall. On a miss, the thread stalls for 100 cycles, fixed. The cache is non-blocking and has space to accommodate 16 outstanding requests
- The number of hardware contexts is 200

Assuming that there are always enough threads present, answer the following questions:
(a) Can the pipeline always be kept full and non-stalling? Why or why not? (Hint: think about the worst case execution characteristics)

Circle one: YES NO
$\square$
(b) Can the pipeline always be kept full and non-stalling if all accesses hit in the cache? Why or why not? Circle one: YES NO
$\square$
(c) Assume that all accesses hit in the cache and your friend wants to keep the pipeline always full and non-stalling. How would you adjust the hardware resources (if necessary) to satisfy this while minimizing hardware cost? You cannot change the latencies provided above. Be comprehensive and specific with numerical answers. If nothing is necessary, justify why this is the case.
$\square$
(d) Assume that all accesses miss in the cache and your friend wants to keep the pipeline always full and non-stalling. How would you adjust the hardware resources (if necessary) to satisfy this while minimizing hardware cost? You cannot change the latencies provided above. Be comprehensive and specific with numerical answers. If nothing is necessary, justify why this is the case.

## 7 Branch Prediction [100 points]

Assume the following piece of code that iterates through a large array populated with completely (i.e., truly) random positive integers. The code has four branches (labeled B1, B2, B3, and B4). When we say that a branch is taken, we mean that the code inside the curly brackets is executed.

```
for (int i=0; i<N; i++) { /* B1 */
    val = array[i]; /* TAKEN PATH for B1 */
    if (val % 2 == 0) { /* B2 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B2 */
    }
    if (val % 3 == 0) { /* B3 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B3 */
    }
    if (val % 6 == 0) { /* B4 */
        sum += val; /* TAKEN PATH for B4 */
    }
}
```

(a) Of the four branches, list all those that exhibit local correlation, if any.
$\square$
(b) Which of the four branches are globally correlated, if any? Explain in less than 20 words.


Now assume that the above piece of code is running on a processor that has a global branch predictor. The global branch predictor has the following characteristics.

- Global history register (GHR): 2 bits.
- Pattern history table (PHT): 4 entries.
- Pattern history table entry (PHTE): 11-bit signed saturating counter (possible values: -1024-1023)
- Before the code is run, all PHTEs are initially set to 0 .
- As the code is being run, a PHTE is incremented (by one) whenever a branch that corresponds to that PHTE is taken, whereas a PHTE is decremented (by one) whenever a branch that corresponds to that PHTE is not taken.
(d) After 120 iterations of the loop, calculate the expected value for only the first PHTE and fill it in the shaded box below. (Please write it as a base-10 value, rounded to the nearest one's digit.)
Hint. For a given iteration of the loop, first consider, what is the probability that both B1 and B2 are taken? Given that they are, what is the probability that B3 will increment or decrement the PHTE? Then consider...

Show your work.


## 8 Branch Prediction [100 points]

Suppose we have the following loop executing on a pipelined MIPS machine.

| DOIT | SW | R1, 0(R6) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | ADDI | R6, R6, 2 |
|  | AND | R3, R1, R2 |
|  | BEQ | R3, R0 EVEN |
|  | ADDI | R1, R1, 3 |
|  | ADDI | R5, R5, -1 |
|  | BGTZ | R5 DOIT |
| EVEN | ADDI | R1, R1, 1 |
|  | ADDI | R7, R7, -1 |
|  | BGTZ | R7 DOIT |

Assume that before the loop starts, the registers have the following decimal values stored in them:

| Register | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| R0 | 0 |
| R1 | 0 |
| R2 | 1 |
| R3 | 0 |
| R4 | 0 |
| R5 | 5 |
| R6 | 4000 |
| R7 | 5 |

The fetch stage takes one cycle, the decode stage also takes one cycle, the execute stage takes a variable number of cycles depending on the type of instruction (see below), and the store stage takes one cycle.

All execution units (including the load/store unit) are fully pipelined and the following instructions that use these units take the indicated number of cycles:

| Instruction | Number of Cycles |
| :---: | :---: |
| SW | 3 |
| ADDI | 2 |
| AND | 3 |
| BEQ/BGTZ | 1 |

Data forwarding is used wherever possible. Instructions that are dependent on the previous instructions can make use of the results produced right after the previous instruction finishes the execute stage.

The target instruction after a branch can be fetched when the branch instruction is in ST stage. For example, the execution of an AND instruction followed by a BEQ would look like:

```
ADD F | D | E1 | E2 | E3 | ST
BEQ F | D | - | - | E1 | ST
TARGET F | D
```

A scoreboarding mechanism is used.

Answer the following questions:

1. How many cycles does the above loop take to execute if no branch prediction is used (the pipeline stalls on fetching a branch instruction, until it is resolved)?
2. How many cycles does the above loop take to execute if all branches are predicted with $100 \%$ accuracy?
3. How many cycles does the above loop take to execute if a static BTFN (backward taken-forward not taken) branch prediction scheme is used to predict branch directions? What is the overall branch prediction accuracy? What is the prediction accuracy for each branch?

## 9 Interference in Two-Level Branch Predictors [50 points]

Assume a two-level global predictor with a global history register and a single pattern history table shared by all branches (call this "predictor A").

1. We call the notion of different branches mapping to the same locations in a branch predictor "branch interference". Where do different branches interfere with each other in these structures?
2. Compared to a two-level global predictor with a global history register and a separate pattern history table for each branch (call this "predictor B"),
(a) When does predictor A yield lower prediction accuracy than predictor B? Explain. Give a concrete example. If you wish, you can write source code to demonstrate a case where predictor A has lower accuracy than predictor B.
(b) Could predictor A yield higher prediction accuracy than predictor B? Explain how. Give a concrete example. If you wish, you can write source code to demonstrate this case.
(c) Is there a case where branch interference in predictor structures does not impact prediction accuracy? Explain. Give a concrete example. If you wish, you can write source code to demonstrate this case as well.

## 10 Branch Prediction vs Predication [100 points]

Consider two machines A and B with 15-stage pipelines with the following stages.

- Fetch (one stage)
- Decode (eight stages)
- Execute (five stages).
- Write-back (one stage).

Both machines do full data forwarding on flow dependences. Flow dependences are detected in the last stage of decode and instructions are stalled in the last stage of decode on detection of a flow dependence.

Machine A has a branch predictor that has a prediction accuracy of $\mathrm{P} \%$. The branch direction/target is resolved in the last stage of execute.

Machine B employs predicated execution, similar to what we saw in lecture.

1. Consider the following code segment executing on Machine A:
```
add r3 \leftarrow r1, r2
sub r5 \leftarrowr6, r7
beq r3, r5, X
addi r10 \leftarrow r1, 5
add r12 \leftarrow r7, r2
add r1 \leftarrow r11, r9
X: addi r15 \leftarrow r2, 10
```

.....

When converted to predicated code on machine B , it looks like this:

```
add r3 \leftarrow r1, r2
sub r5 \leftarrow r6, r7
cmp r3, r5
addi.ne r10 \leftarrow r1, 5
add.ne r12 \leftarrow r7, r2
add.ne r14 \leftarrow r11, r9
addi r15 \leftarrow r2, 10
```

.....
(Assume that the condition codes are set by the "cmp" instruction and used by each predicated ".ne" instruction. Condition codes are evaluated in the last stage of execute and can be forwarded like any other data value.)

This segment is repeated several hundreds of times in the code. The branch is taken $40 \%$ of the time and not taken $60 \%$ of the time. On an average, for what range of P would you expect machine A to have a higher instruction throughput than machine B?
2. Consider another code segment executing on Machine A:

```
add r3 \leftarrow r1, r2
sub r5 \leftarrowr6, r7
beq r3, r5, X
addi r10}\leftarrow r1, 5
add r12 \leftarrow r10, r2
add r14 \leftarrow r12, r9
X: addi r15 \leftarrow r14, 10
.....
```

When converted to predicated code on machine B , it looks like this:

```
add r3 \leftarrow r1, r2
sub r5 \leftarrow r6, r7
cmp r3, r5
addi.ne r10 \leftarrow r1, 5
add.ne r12 \leftarrow r10, r2
add.ne r14 \leftarrow r12, r9
addi r15 \leftarrow r14, 10
```

(Assume that the condition codes are set by the "cmp" instruction and used by each predicated ".ne" instruction. Condition codes are evaluated in the last stage of execute and can be forwarded like any other data value.)

This segment is repeated several hundreds of times in the code. The branch is taken $40 \%$ of the time and not taken $60 \%$ of the time. On an average, for what range of P would you expect machine A to have a higher instruction throughput than machine B?

