1 Vector Processing I

Consider the following piece of code:

\[
\text{for } (i = 0; i < 100; i++)
\]

\[
A[i] = ((B[i] \times C[i]) + D[i])/2;
\]

(a) Translate this code into assembly language using the following instructions in the ISA (note the number of cycles each instruction takes is shown next to each instruction):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opcode</th>
<th>Operands</th>
<th>Number of cycles</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEA</td>
<td>Rd, X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rd ← address of X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Rd, Rs, Rt</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Rd ← MEM[Rs + Rt]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Rs, Rt, Ru</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>MEM[Rt + Ru] ← Rs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOVI</td>
<td>Rd, imm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rd ← imm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MUL</td>
<td>Rd, Rs, Rt</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Rd ← Rs × Rt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD</td>
<td>Rd, Rs, Rt</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rd ← Rs + Rt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADD</td>
<td>Rd, Rs, imm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Rd ← Rs + imm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSHFA</td>
<td>Rd, Rs, shamt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Rd ← Rs &gt;&gt;&gt; shamt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR&lt;CC&gt;</td>
<td>Rs, X</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Branch to X if Rs satisfies condition code CC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume one memory location is required to store each element of the array. Also assume that there are eight registers, R0 to R7.

Condition codes are set after the execution of an arithmetic instruction. You can assume typically available condition codes such as zero (EQZ), positive (GTZ), negative (LTZ), non-negative (GEZ), and non-positive (LEZ).

```
MOVI R1, 99    // 1 cycle
LEA R0, A      // 1 cycle
LEA R2, B      // 1 cycle
LEA R3, C      // 1 cycle
LEA R4, D      // 1 cycle

LOOP:
LD R5, R2, R1  // 11 cycles
LD R6, R3, R1  // 11 cycles
MUL R7, R5, R6 // 6 cycles
LD R5, R4, R1  // 11 cycles
ADD R6, R7, R5 // 4 cycles
RSHFA R7, R6, 1 // 1 cycle
ST R7, R0, R1  // 11 cycles
ADD R1, R1, -1 // 4 cycles
BRGEZ R1 LOOP  // 1 cycle
```
How many cycles does it take to execute the program?

$$5 + 100 \times 60 = 6005$$

(b) Now write Cray-like vector assembly code with the minimum number of instructions. Assume that there are eight vector registers and the length of each vector register is 64. Use the following instructions in the vector ISA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opcode</th>
<th>Operands</th>
<th>Number of cycles</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Vst, imm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vst ← imm (Vst: Vector Stride Register)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Vln, imm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vln ← imm (Vln: Vector Length Register)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLD</td>
<td>Vd, X</td>
<td>11, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd ← MEM[address of X]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VST</td>
<td>Vs, X</td>
<td>11, pipelined</td>
<td>MEM[address of X] ← Vs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VADD</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, Vt</td>
<td>4, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd ← Vs + Vt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMUL</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, Vt</td>
<td>6, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd_i ← Vs_i × Vt_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRSHFA</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, shamt</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vd_i ← Vs_i &gt;&gt; shamt</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
SET    Vln, 50
SET    Vst, 1
VLD    V1, B
VLD    V2, C
VMUL   V4, V1, V2
VLD    V3, D
VADD   V6, V4, V3
VRSHFA V7, V4, V6
VST    V7, A
VLD    V1, B + 50
VLD    V2, C + 50
VMUL   V4, V1, V2
VLD    V3, D + 50
VADD   V6, V4, V3
VRSHFA V7, V6, 1
VST    V7, A + 50
```
How many cycles does it take to execute the program on the following processors? Assume that memory is 16-way interleaved.

**Vector processor without chaining, 1 port to memory (1 load or store per cycle):**

The third load (VLD) can be pipelined with the multiplication (VMUL). However as there is just only one port to memory and no chaining, other operations cannot be pipelined.

Processing the first 50 elements takes 346 cycles as below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing the next 50 elements takes 344 cycles as shown below (no need to initialize Vln and Vst as they stay at the same value).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, the total number of cycles to execute the program is 690 cycles.

**Vector processor with chaining, 1 port to memory:**

In this case, the first two loads cannot be pipelined as there is only one port to memory and the third load has to wait until the second load has completed. However, the machine supports chaining, so all other operations can be pipelined.

Processing the first 50 elements takes 242 cycles as below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>49</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>49</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Processing the next 50 elements takes 240 cycles (same time line as above, but without the first 2 instructions to initialize Vln and Vst).

Therefore, the total number of cycles to execute the program is 482 cycles.
Vector processor with chaining, 2 read ports and 1 write port to memory:

Assuming an in-order pipeline.

The first two loads can also be pipelined as there are two ports to memory. The third load has to wait until the first two loads complete. However, the two loads for the second 50 elements can proceed in parallel with the store.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SET} & \quad |1| \\
\text{SET} & \quad |1| \\
\text{VLD} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VLD} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VMUL} & \quad |6| \quad 49 \\
\text{VLD} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VADD} & \quad |4| \quad 49 \\
\text{VRSHFA} & \quad |1| \quad 49 \\
\text{VST} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VLD} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VMUL} & \quad |6| \quad 49 \\
\text{VLD} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\text{VADD} & \quad |4| \quad 49 \\
\text{VRSHFA} & \quad |1| \quad 49 \\
\text{VST} & \quad |11| \quad 49 \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
1 + 1 + (11 + 49) + 11 + 4 + 1 + (1 + 11 + 49) + 11 + 4 + 1 + (11 + 49) = 215
\]

Therefore, the total number of cycles to execute the program is 215 cycles.
2 Vector Processing II

You are studying a program that runs on a vector computer with the following latencies for various instructions:

- **VLD** and **VST**: 50 cycles for each vector element; fully interleaved and pipelined.
- **VADD**: 4 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- **VMUL**: 16 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- **VDIV**: 32 cycles for each vector element (fully pipelined).
- **VRSHFA**: 1 cycle for each vector element (fully pipelined).

Assume that:

- The machine has an in-order pipeline.
- The machine supports chaining between vector functional units.
- In order to support 1-cycle memory access after the first element in a vector, the machine interleaves vector elements across memory banks. All vectors are stored in memory with the first element mapped to bank 0, the second element mapped to bank 1, and so on.
- Each memory bank has an 8 KB row buffer.
- Vector elements are 64 bits in size.
- Each memory bank has two ports (so that two loads/stores can be active simultaneously), and there are two load/store functional units available.

(a) What is the minimum power-of-two number of banks required in order for memory accesses to never stall? (Assume a vector stride of 1.)

64 banks (because memory latency is 50 cycles and the next power of two is 64)
(b) The machine (with as many banks as you found in part a) executes the following program (assume that the vector stride is set to 1):

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{V1, A} \quad // \quad \text{V1} \leftarrow A \\
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{V2, B} \quad // \quad \text{V2} \leftarrow B \\
\text{VADD} & \quad \text{V3, V1, V2} \quad // \quad \text{V3} \leftarrow \text{V1} + \text{V2} \\
\text{VMUL} & \quad \text{V4, V3, V1} \quad // \quad \text{V4}_i \leftarrow \text{V3}_i \times \text{V1}_i \\
\text{VRSHFA} & \quad \text{V5, V4, 2} \quad // \quad \text{V5}_i \leftarrow \text{V4}_i \gg 2
\end{align*}
\]

It takes 111 cycles to execute this program. What is the vector length \( L \) (i.e., the number of elements in a vector)?

\[
L = 40
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & \quad |----50------|---(L-1)----|
\text{VLD} & \quad |1|----50--------|
\text{VADD} & \quad | -4 -|
\text{VMUL} & \quad | -16 -|
\text{VRSHFA} & \quad |1|-----(L-1)-----|
\end{align*}
\]

\[
1 + 50 + 4 + 16 + 1 + (L - 1) = 71 + L = 111 \\
\therefore L = 40
\]

If the machine did not support chaining (but could still pipeline independent operations), how many cycles would be required to execute the same program?

\[
228 \text{ cycles}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & \quad |----50------|---(L-1)----|
\text{VLD} & \quad |1|----50--------|---(L-1)----|
\text{VADD} & \quad | -4 -|---(L-1)-----|
\text{VMUL} & \quad | -16 -|---(L-1)-----|
\text{VRSHFA} & \quad |1|---(L-1)---|
\end{align*}
\]

\[
1 + 50 + 4 + 16 + 1 + 4 \times (L - 1) = 68 + 4 \times L = 228 \text{ cycles}
\]
(c) The architect of this machine decides that she needs to cut costs in the machine’s memory system. She reduces the number of banks by a factor of 2 from the number of banks you found in part (a) above. Because loads and stores might stall due to bank contention, an arbiter is added to each bank so that pending loads from the oldest instruction are serviced first. How many cycles does the program take to execute on the machine with this reduced-cost memory system (but with chaining)?

129 cycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VLD 0</th>
<th>----50----</th>
<th>bank 0 (takes port 0)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>----31----</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
<td>bank 0 (takes port 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>----7----</td>
<td>bank 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLD 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>----31----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
<td>bank 0 (takes port 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>----7----</td>
<td>bank 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VADD</td>
<td>----4----</td>
<td>(tracking last elements)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMUL</td>
<td>----16----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRSHFA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ (B[39]: 1 + 50 + 50 + 7) + 4 + 16 + 1 = 129 \text{ cycles} \]

Now, the architect reduces cost further by reducing the number of memory banks (to a lower power of 2). The program executes in 279 cycles. How many banks are in the system?

8 banks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VLD 0</th>
<th>----50----</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[16]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[24]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>----50----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>----7----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLD 0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VADD</td>
<td>----4----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMUL</td>
<td>----16----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VRSHFA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ 5 \times 50 + 7 + 1 + 4 + 16 + 1 = 279 \text{ cycles} \rightarrow 8 \text{ banks} \]
Another architect is now designing the second generation of this vector computer. He wants to build a multicore machine in which 4 vector processors share the same memory system. He scales up the number of banks by 4 in order to match the memory system bandwidth to the new demand. However, when he simulates this new machine design with a separate vector program running on every core, he finds that the average execution time is longer than if each individual program ran on the original single-core system with 1/4 the banks. Why could this be? Provide concrete reason(s).

Row-buffer conflicts (all cores interleave their vectors across all banks).

What change could this architect make to the system in order to alleviate this problem (in less than 20 words), while only changing the shared memory hierarchy?

Partition the memory mappings, or using better memory scheduling.
3 Vector Processing III

Assume a vector processor that implements the following ISA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opcode</th>
<th>Operands</th>
<th>Number of cycles</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Vst, imm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vst ← imm (Vst: Vector Stride Register)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SET</td>
<td>Vln, imm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Vln ← imm (Vln: Vector Length Register)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLD</td>
<td>Vd, addr</td>
<td>100, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd ← MEM[addr]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VST</td>
<td>Vs, addr</td>
<td>100, pipelined</td>
<td>MEM[addr] ← Vs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VADD</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, Vt</td>
<td>5, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd ← Vs + Vt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMUL</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, Vt</td>
<td>10, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd_i ← Vs_i × Vt_i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDIV</td>
<td>Vd, Vs, Vt</td>
<td>20, pipelined</td>
<td>Vd_i ← Vs_i / Vt_i</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume the following:

- The processor has an in-order pipeline.
- The size of a vector element is 4 bytes.
- Vst and Vln are 10-bit registers.
- The processor does not support chaining between vector functional units.
- The main memory has $N$ banks.
- Vector elements stored in consecutive memory addresses are interleaved between the memory banks. For example, if a vector element at address $A$ maps to bank $B$, a vector element at address $A + 4$ maps to bank $(B + 1)\%N$, where $\%$ is the modulo operator and $N$ is the number of banks. $N$ is not necessarily a power of two.
- The memory is byte addressable and the address space is represented using 32 bits.
- Vector elements are stored in memory in 4-byte-aligned manner.
- Each memory bank has a 4 KB row buffer.
- Each memory bank has a single read and a single write port so that a load and a store operation can be performed simultaneously.
- There are separate functional units for executing VLD and VST instructions.

(a) What should the minimum value of $N$ be to avoid stalls while executing a VLD or VST instruction, assuming a vector stride of 1? Explain.

100 banks (because the latency of VLD and VST instructions is 100 cycles)
(b) What should the minimum value of $N$ be to avoid stalls while executing a \texttt{VLD} or \texttt{VST} instruction, assuming a vector stride of 2? Explain.

101 banks.

To avoid stalls, we need to ensure that consecutive vector elements access 100 different banks. With a vector stride of 2, consecutive elements of a vector will map to every other bank. For example, if the first element maps to bank 0, the next element will map to bank 2, and so on.

With 100 banks, the 51st element of a vector will map to bank $100\%100 = 0$, conflicting with the first element of the vector. However, with 101 banks, the 51st element will map to bank 1, which was skipped by the previous vector elements.

Let’s assume there are 102 elements in a vector and the first elements accesses bank 0. The 101 banks will be accessed in the following order:

$$(0, 2, ..., 100, 102, 104, ..., 200, 202) \% 101 = (0, 2, ..., 100, 1, 3, ..., 99, 0)$$

We can see that none of the elements conflict in the DRAM banks. Note that, when the last vector elements accesses bank 0, the bank is already available for a new access because the 100 cycle latency of accessing the first element is overlapped by accessing the other 101 elements.

(c) Assume:

- A machine that has a memory with as many banks as you found is part (a).
- The vector stride is set to 1.
- The value of the vector length is set to $M$ (but we do \textit{not} know $M$)

The machine executes the following program:

```
VLD V1, A    // V1 ← MEM[A]
VLD V2, (A + 32768) // V2 ← MEM[A + 32768]
VADD V3, V1, V1 // V3 ← V1, V1
VMUL V4, V2, V3 // V4_i ← V2_i, V3_i
VST V4, (A + 32768*2) // MEM[A + 32768*2] ← V4
```

It takes 4,306 cycles to execute the above program. What is $M$? Explain.
\( M = 1000 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & | -100 - | -(M - 1) - -| \\
\text{VLD} & | -100 - | -(M - 1) - -| \\
\text{VADD} & | -5 - | -(M - 1) - -| \\
\text{VMUL} & | -10 - | -(M - 1) - -| \\
\text{VST} & | -100 - | -(M - 1) - -|
\end{align*}
\]

\((M + 100 - 1) + 100 + (M - 1) + 10 + (M - 1) + 100 + (M - 1) = 306 + 4 \times M = 4306 \rightarrow M = 1000\) elements

(d) If we modify the vector processor to support chaining, how many cycles would be required to execute the same program in part (c)? Explain.

\(2,308\) cycles

Let \( L \) the vector length, i.e., the number of elements of a vector.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & | - -100- - | -(L-1)- -| \\
\text{VLD} & | - -100- - | -(L-1)- -| \\
\text{VADD} & | -1- -5 - - | -(L-1)- -| \text{ (this is delayed because processor executes instructions in order)} \\
\text{VMUL} & | -10- - | -(L-1)- -| \\
\text{VST} & | -100- - | -(L-1)- -|
\end{align*}
\]

\(100 + (L - 1) + 100 + 10 + 100 + (L - 1) = 310 + 2 \times 1000 - 2 = 2308\) cycles
4 Vector Processing IV

A vector processor includes the following instructions in its ISA:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Opcode</th>
<th>Operands</th>
<th>Latency (cycles)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VLD</td>
<td>$V_i$, #Address</td>
<td>60, fully interleaved and pipelined</td>
<td>$V_i \leftarrow \text{Mem}[\text{Address}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VST</td>
<td>$V_i$, #Address</td>
<td>60, fully interleaved and pipelined</td>
<td>$\text{Mem}[\text{Address}] \leftarrow V_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VADD</td>
<td>$V_i, V_j, V_k$</td>
<td>8, fully pipelined</td>
<td>$V_i \leftarrow V_j + V_k$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VMUL</td>
<td>$V_i, V_j, V_k$</td>
<td>16, fully pipelined</td>
<td>$V_i \leftarrow V_j \ast V_k$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assume that:
- The machine has an in-order pipeline.
- Each vector element is 64 bits in size.
- In order to support 1-element per cycle memory throughput for vector elements, after the first element in a vector, the machine interleaves vector elements across memory banks. All vectors are stored in memory with the first element mapped to bank 0, the second element mapped to bank 1, etc.
- Memory accesses within a vectorized memory request must be issued in order.
- Each memory bank has two ports (so that two loads/stores can be active simultaneously), and there are two load/store functional units available.

Answer the following questions about this vector computer.

(a) The number of memory banks in this vector processor is a power of two. What should the minimum number of banks be to avoid stalls while executing a VLD or VST instruction, assuming a vector stride of 1? Explain.

64 banks, because the memory latency is 60 cycles and the next power of two is 64.

(b) Translate the following piece of code, called SAXPY, into vector code that executes in the minimum possible number of cycles on this vector processor. Constant alpha is stored in vector register V1. (Note: Assume vector length register and vector stride register are already introduced to appropriate values.)

```c
for (i = 0; i < VLEN; i++){
    temp = alpha \ast X[i];
    Z[i] = temp + Y[i];
}
```

```assembly
VLD V2, X
VLD V3, Y
VMUL V4, V1, V2
VADD V5, V4, V3
VST Z, V5
```
(c) Consider a machine with as many banks as you found in part (a) executes the program you wrote in part (b). Assume that the vector stride is set to 1. The machine does not support chaining between vector functional units. If the machine takes 340 cycles to finish the program, what is the vector length? Show your work.

50.

**Explanation.**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{|1|} \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VMUL} & \quad \text{|--16--|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VADD} & \quad \text{|--8--|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VST} & \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|}
\end{align*}
\]

\[60 + 16 + 8 + 60 + (\text{VLEN-1}) \times 4 = 340\]

VLEN = 50

(d) The architect of the machine improves the design to support chaining. For the VLEN you found in the previous part, what is the total number of cycles for the same program? Show your work.

193.

**Explanation.**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VLD} & \quad \text{|1|} \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VMUL} & \quad \text{|--16--|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VADD} & \quad \text{|--8--|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|} \\
\text{VST} & \quad \text{|---60---|} \quad \text{--(VLEN-1)--|}
\end{align*}
\]

\[60 + 16 + 8 + 60 + (\text{VLEN-1}) = 193\]

(e) Now the architect decides that she needs to cut costs in the machine’s memory system by reducing the
number of banks. Because loads and stores might stall due to bank contention, an arbiter is added to each bank so that pending loads from the oldest instruction are serviced first. She observes the program now takes 481 cycles (with chaining). What is the new number of banks? Show your work. (Note: Recall the number of banks is a power of two.)
16.

Explanations.

VLD

| 0 | ---60--- | bank0
| 15 | -15---60--- | bank15
| 16 | ---60--- | bank0
| 31 | -15---60--- | bank15
| 32 | ---60--- | bank0
| 47 | -15---60--- | bank15
| 48 | ---60--- | bank0
| 49 | 1---60--- | bank1

VLD

| 0 | 1---60--- | bank0 (port1)
| 15 | 1---60--- | bank15 (port1)
| 16 | ---60--- | bank0 (port1)
| 31 | -15---60--- | bank15 (port1)
| 32 | ---60--- | bank0 (port1)
| 47 | -15---60--- | bank15 (port1)
| 48 | ---60--- | bank0 (port1)
| 49 | 1---60--- | bank1 (port1)

VMUL

| 0 | ---16--|
| 15 | -15---16--|
| 16 | ---16--|
| 31 | -15---16--|
| 32 | ---16--|
| 47 | -15---16--|
| 48 | ---16--|
| 49 | 1---16--|

VADD

| 0 | -8-|
| 15 | -15---8-|
| 16 | ---8-|
| 31 | -15---8-|
| 32 | ---8-|
| 47 | -15---8-|
| 48 | ---8-|
| 49 | 1---8-|

VST

| 0 | ---60--- | bank 0 (it cannot start until port 0 is free)
| 15 | -15---60--- | bank 15
| 16 | ---60--- | bank 0
| 31 | -15---60--- | bank 15
| 32 | ---60--- | bank 0
| 47 | -15---60--- | bank 15
| 48 | ---60--- | bank 0
| 49 | 1---60--- | bank 1
5 SIMD Processing

Suppose we want to design a SIMD engine that can support a vector length of 16. We have two options: a traditional vector processor and a traditional array processor.

(a) Which one is more costly in terms of chip area (circle one)?

1. The traditional vector processor  
2. The traditional array processor  
3. Neither

Justify your answer.

An array processor requires 16 functional units for an operation whereas a vector processor requires only 1.

(b) Assuming the latency of an addition operation is five cycles in both processors, how long will a VADD (vector add) instruction take in each of the processors (assume that the adder can be fully pipelined and is the same for both processors)?

For a vector length of 1:
The traditional vector processor:

5 cycles

The traditional array processor:

5 cycles

For a vector length of 4:
The traditional vector processor:

8 cycles (5 for the first element to complete, 3 for the remaining 3)

The traditional array processor:

5 cycles

For a vector length of 16:
The traditional vector processor:

20 cycles (5 for the first element to complete, 15 for the remaining 15)

The traditional array processor:

5 cycles
6 GPUs and SIMD I

We define the *SIMD utilization* of a program run on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with *active threads* during the run of a program. The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays $A$ and $B$ are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 2 instructions in each thread.) A warp in the GPU consists of 32 threads, there are 32 SIMD lanes in the GPU. Assume that each instruction takes the same amount of time to execute.

```c
for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
    if (A[i] % 3 == 0) { // Instruction 1
    }
}
```

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program? Please leave the answer in terms of $N$.

\[
\left\lceil \frac{N}{32} \right\rceil
\]

(b) Assume integer arrays $A$ have a repetitive pattern which have 24 ones followed by 8 zeros repetitively and integer arrays $B$ have a different repetitive pattern which have 48 zeros followed by 64 ones. What is the SIMD utilization of this program?

\[
\frac{(24+8 \times 2)}{(32 \times 2)} = \frac{40}{64} = 0.625
\]

(c) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 100%? Circle one.

**YES**

If **YES**, what should be true about array $A$ for the SIMD utilization to be 100%?

Starting from $A[0]$, consecutive 32 elements of $A$ should be either divisible by 3 or not divisible by 3.

What should be true about array $B$?

$B$ can be any array of integers.

If **NO**, explain why not.

(d) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 56.25%? Circle one.

**YES**

**NO**

17/29
If **YES**, what should be true about array $A$ for the SIMD utilization to be 56.25%?

4 out of every 32 elements of $A$ are divisible by 3.

What should be true about array $B$?

$B$ can be any array of integers.

If **NO**, explain why not.

(e) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 50%? Circle one.

**YES**  **NO**

If **YES**, what should be true about array $A$ for the SIMD utilization to be 50%?

What should be true about array $B$?

If **NO**, explain why not.

The minimum is when only 1 out of every 32 elements of $A$ is divisible by 3. This yields a 51.5625% usage.

Now, we will look at the technique we learned in class that tries to improve SIMD utilization by merging divergent branches together. The key idea of the *dynamic warp formation* is that threads in one warp can be swapped with threads in another warp as long as the swapped threads have access to the associated registers (i.e., they are on the same SIMD lane).

Consider the following example of a program that consists of 3 warps $X$, $Y$ and $Z$ that are executing the same code segment specified at the top of this question. Assume that the vector below specifies the direction
of the branch of each thread within the warp. 1 means the branch in Instruction 1 is resolved to taken and 0 means the branch in Instruction 1 is resolved to not taken.

\[
X = \{10000000000000000000000000000010\} \\
Y = \{10000000000000000000000000000001\} \\
Z = \{01000000000000000000000000000000\}
\]

(f) Given the example above. Suppose that you perform dynamic warp formation on these three warps. What is the resulting outcome of each branch for the newly formed warps \(X'\), \(Y'\) and \(Z'\).

There are several answers for this question but the key is that the taken branch in \(Z\) can be combined with either \(X\) or \(Y\). However, the taken branch in the first thread of \(X\) and \(Y\) cannot be merged because they are on the same GPU lane.

(g) Given the specification for arrays \(A\) and \(B\), is it possible for this program to yield a better SIMD utilization if dynamic warp formation is used? Explain your reasoning.

No. Branch divergence happens on the same lane throughout the program resulting in the case where there is no dynamically formed warp.
7 GPUs and SIMD II

We define the SIMD utilization of a program run on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with active threads during the run of a program. As we saw in lecture and practice exercises, the SIMD utilization of a program is computed across the complete run of the program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A, B, and C are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 6 instructions in each thread.) A warp in the GPU consists of 64 threads, and there are 64 SIMD lanes in the GPU. Please assume that all values in array B have magnitudes less than 10 (i.e., $|B[i]| < 10$, for all $i$).

```c
for (i = 0; i < 1024; i++) {
    A[i] = B[i] * B[i];
    if (A[i] > 0) {
        C[i] = A[i] * B[i];
        if (C[i] < 0) {
        }
    }
}
```

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program?

16 warps

Number of Warps = $\left\lceil \frac{\text{Number of threads}}{\text{Number of threads per warp}} \right\rceil$

Number of threads = $2^{10}$ (i.e., one thread per loop iteration).

Number of threads per warp = 64 = $2^6$ (given).

$\therefore$ Number of Warps = $\left\lceil \frac{2^{10}}{2^6} \right\rceil = 2^4$

(b) What is the maximum possible SIMD utilization of this program?

100%
(c) Please describe what needs to be true about array B to reach the maximum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (b). (Please cover all cases in your answer)

For every 64 consecutive elements: every value is 0, every value is positive, or every value is negative. Must give all three of these.

(d) What is the minimum possible SIMD utilization of this program?

132/384

The first two lines must be executed by every thread in a warp (64/64 utilization for each line). The minimum utilization results when a single thread from each warp passes both conditions on lines 2 and 4, and every other thread fails to meet the condition on line 2. The thread per warp that meets both conditions, executes lines 3-6 resulting in a SIMD utilization of 1/64 for each line. The minimum SIMD utilization sums to \((64 \times 2 + 1 \times 4)/(64 \times 6) = 132/384\)

(e) Please describe what needs to be true about array B to reach the minimum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (d). (Please cover all cases in your answer)

Exactly 1 of every 64 consecutive elements must be negative. The rest must be zero. This is the only case that this holds true.
8 GPUs and SIMD III

We define the *SIMD utilization* of a program that runs on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with active threads during the run of the program. As we saw in lecture and practice exercises, the SIMD utilization of a program is computed across the complete run of the program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A and B are already in vector registers, so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 3 instructions in each iteration.) A warp in the GPU consists of 32 threads, and there are 32 SIMD lanes in the GPU.

```c
for (i = 0; i < 1025; i++) {
    if (A[i] < 33) { // Instruction 1
        B[i] = A[i] << 1; // Instruction 2
    }
    else { // Instruction 3
        B[i] = A[i] >> 1;
    }
}
```

Please answer the following six questions.

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program?

33 warps

Number of Warps = ⌈(Number of threads) / (Number of threads per warp)⌉

Number of threads = $2^{10} + 1$ (i.e., one thread per loop iteration).

Number of threads per warp = 32 = $2^5$ (given).

∴ Number of Warps = $\lceil \frac{2^{10} + 1}{2^5} \rceil = 2^5 + 1 = 33$

(b) What is the maximum possible SIMD utilization of this program? (Hint: The warp scheduler does not issue instructions when no threads are active).

Even though all active threads in a warp follow the same execution path, the last warp will only have one active thread.
(c) Please describe what needs to be true about array \( A \) to reach the maximum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (b). (Please cover all cases in your answer.)

For every 32 consecutive elements of \( A \), every element should be lower than 33 (if), or greater than or equal to 33 (else). (NOTE: The solution is correct if both cases are given.)

(d) What is the minimum possible SIMD utilization of this program?

\[
\frac{1025}{1568}
\]

Instruction 1 is executed by every active thread (\( \frac{1025}{1568} \) utilization). Then, part of the threads in each warp executes Instruction 2 and the other part executes Instruction 3. We consider that Instruction 2 is executed by \( \alpha \) threads in each warp (except the last warp), where \( 0 < \alpha \leq 32 \), and Instruction 3 is executed by the remaining \( 32 - \alpha \) threads. The only active thread in the last warp executes either Instruction 2 or Instruction 3. The other instruction is not issued for this warp.

The minimum SIMD utilization sums to

\[
\frac{1025 + \alpha \times 32 + (32 - \alpha) \times 32 + 1}{1024 + 1024 + 1024 + 32} = \frac{1025}{1568}.
\]

(e) Please describe what needs to be true about array \( A \) to reach the minimum possible SIMD utilization asked in part (d). (Please cover all cases in your answer.)

For every 32 consecutive elements of \( A \), part of the elements should be lower than 33 (if), and the other part should be greater than or equal to 33 (else).
(f) What is the SIMD utilization of this program if $A[1] = 1$? Show your work.

Instruction 1 is executed by every active thread ($\frac{1025}{1072}$ utilization).
Instruction 2 is executed by the first 33 threads, i.e., all threads in the first warp and one thread in the second warp.
Instruction 3 is executed by the remaining active threads.

The SIMD utilization sums to $\frac{1025 + 32 + 1 + 31 + 960 + 1}{1056 + 32 + 32 + 32 + 960 + 32} = \frac{2050}{2144} = \frac{1025}{1072}$. 
9 GPUs and SIMD IV

We define the *SIMD utilization* of a program run on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with *active threads* during the run of a program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. Each thread executes a single iteration of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A, B, and C are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. (Hint: Notice that there are 6 instructions in each thread.) A warp in the GPU consists of 64 threads, and there are 64 SIMD lanes in the GPU.

```cpp
for (i = 0; i < 4096; i++) {
    if (B[i] < 8888) {
        A[i] = A[i] * C[i];
        C[i] = B[i] + 1;
    }
    if (B[i] > 8888) {
    }
}
```

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program?

\[
\text{Warps} = \frac{\text{Number of threads}}{\text{Number of threads per warp}}
\]

Number of threads = \(2^{12}\) (i.e., one thread per loop iteration).
Number of threads per warp = 64 = \(2^6\) (given).
Warps = \(\frac{2^{12}}{2^6} = 2^6\)

(b) When we measure the SIMD utilization for this program with one input set, we find that it is \(134/320\). What can you say about arrays A, B, and C? Be precise (Hint: Look at the “if” branch).

A: Nothing

B: 2 in every 64 of B’s elements are less than 8888, the rest are 8888

C: Nothing
(c) Is it possible for this program to yield a SIMD utilization of 100% (circle one)?

YES          NO

If YES, what should be true about arrays A, B, C for the SIMD utilization to be 100%? Be precise. If NO, explain why not.

Yes. All consecutive 64 elements of B should be either:
(1) All of B’s elements are equal to 8888, or
(2) All of B’s elements are less than 8888, or
(3) All of B’s elements are greater than 8888.

(d) What is the lowest SIMD utilization that this program can yield? Explain.

132/384. 1 in every 64 of B’s elements are greater than 8888, and 1 in every 64 of B’s elements are less than 8888, and the rest of the elements are 8888.
10 GPUs and SIMD V

We define the *SIMD utilization* of a program that runs on a GPU as the fraction of SIMD lanes that are kept busy with *active threads* during the run of a program. As we saw in lecture and practice exercises, the SIMD utilization of a program is computed across the *complete run* of the program.

The following code segment is run on a GPU. A warp in the GPU consists of 32 threads, and there are 32 SIMD lanes in the GPU. Each thread executes a *single iteration* of the shown loop. Assume that the data values of the arrays A and B are already in vector registers so there are no loads and stores in this program. Both A and B are arrays of integers. (Hint: Notice that there are 5 instructions in each iteration.)

```c
for (i = 0; i < 1024; i++) {
    A[i] = 2 * B[i]; // Instruction 1
    if (A[i / 32] == 0) { // Instruction 2
        int a = 2 * A[i]; // Instruction 3
        if (a == i) { // Instruction 4
            A[i] += 1; // Instruction 5
        }
    }
}
```

Please answer the following questions.

(a) How many warps does it take to execute this program?

32 warps.

**Explanation:**
The number of warps is calculated as:

\[
\text{#Warps} = \lceil \frac{\text{#Total_threads}}{\text{#Warp_size}} \rceil,
\]

where

- \(\text{#Total_threads} = 1024 = 2^{10}\) (i.e., one thread per loop iteration),
- \(\text{#Warp_size} = 32 = 2^5\) (given).

Thus, the number of warps needed to run this program is:

\[
\#Warps = \lceil \frac{2^{10}}{2^5} \rceil = 2^5 = 32.
\]

(b) What needs to be true about array B to achieve 100% utilization? Show your work. (Hint: The warp scheduler does not issue instructions where no threads are active).

Elements B[x], with x between 0 and 31, should be different from 0.

**Explanation:**
Threads with an ID \(i\) enter the first if statement if the element of B with index \(\lfloor \frac{i}{32} \rfloor\) (i.e., the first 32 elements of B) is equal to 0. If that is not the case, all threads execute only Instruction 1 and Instruction 2. Thus, the utilization is 100%.
(c) What is the minimum possible SIMD utilization of this program?

\[
\text{Minimum } SIMD\text{-}utilization = \frac{4096+32}{4096+1024} = \frac{4128}{5120}.
\]

(d) What needs to be true about array \(B\) to achieve the minimum possible SIMD utilization? Show your work. (Please cover all cases in your answer.)

The minimum SIMD utilization is achieved when there is one (and only one) thread per warp that executes Instruction 5. Only one thread every four threads can potentially execute Instruction 5, since index \(i\) needs to be a multiple of 4 for a thread to enter the second if statement. Thus, we know about array \(B\):

- \(B[x]\), with \(x\) between 1 and 31, is equal to 0.
- For \(i \geq 32\) and \(i\) a multiple of 4, only 1 out of 8 values of \(B\) fulfills \(4 \times B[i] = i\).

(e) If \(B[0] = 0\), what is the maximum possible SIMD utilization of this program?

\[
\text{Maximum } SIMD\text{-}utilization = \frac{2048+32+32+8}{2048+32+32+32} = \frac{2120}{2144}.
\]
(f) What needs to be true about array B to achieve the maximum possible SIMD utilization, if $B[0] = 0$?

Show your work. (Please cover all cases in your answer.)

The maximum SIMD utilization is achieved when only the first warp enters the first if statement. In this case, the maximum number of threads that can execute Instruction 5 is 8. This can only happen if:

- $B[0] = 0$;
- $B[x]$, with $x$ between 1 and 31, is different from 0.
- $B[4 \times x]$, with $x$ between 1 and 7, is equal to $x$. 